

Visual Identification System for Naval Aircraft (Tail Codes)

The rapid and accurate identification of aircraft has always been of prime importance within Naval Aviation. The explosive expansion of Naval Aviation during World War II compounded this problem.

A three-part identification system had been in use in the fleet from 1923 until World War II. Under this system, the aircraft identification number 5-F-1, which was placed on the fuselage of the plane, meant this was the first airplane in Fighting Squadron 5. After July 1937, the squadron number for carrier based squadrons was the same as the hull number of the carrier. Thus Yorktown (CV 5) would have had VB-5, VS-5 and VF-5 assigned as part of her complement of squadrons. This system was modified by Commander Carriers, Pacific Fleet, on 29 April 1942. To help conceal the identity of carriers engaged in operations in enemy waters, the squadron number was eliminated, leaving just the letter designating the type of squadron and the aircraft number within the squadron. Thus, the marking on the fuselage of the plane would have been F-1 to identify it as the first plane in a fighting squadron without identifying the squadron's number. This was further modified on 22 December 1943, by the deletion of the squadron type letter. All identification as to a specific unit was now removed which allowed aircraft to be drawn from a pool as necessary without the requirement of painting identification information on them.

During World War II, with the increase in the number of fleet aircraft operating in the same area as training planes, the necessity grew even more acute to quickly differentiate the large number of training planes from the operational fleet aircraft. To alleviate this problem, Naval Air Operational Training Command, on 12 January 1943, directed that all aircraft within the command be identified by an alpha/numeric system consisting of three groups of characters. The first letter(s) designated the base assignment for the aircraft. The second letter identified the aircraft mission, while the third group was the number of the aircraft within the squadron. For an example, V-T-29 would indicate the aircraft was from Vero Beach, Fla., it was a torpedo plane, and the 29th aircraft in that Vero Beach, Fla., training unit.

During the last two years of the war, many of the aircraft assigned to the carriers in the Pacific carried symbols denoting the ship or air group to which they were assigned. No directive specifying these markings are known to exist, if there ever were any. From a review of photos of the period, it appears that the symbols were assigned to the CV designated aircraft carriers. While the Escort Carriers, designated CVE, had the symbol assigned to the squadrons that operated aboard the CVEs. Squadrons operating aboard the CVs only had that specific symbol while assigned to that particular carrier. While this was a step in the right direction, the lack of a uniform system was soon apparent when a large number of aircraft were trying to rendezvous after takeoff, before landing or over target areas.

The United States Navy Air Force, Pacific Fleet, issued a standard set of twenty-eight geometrical designs for the CV and CVL class carriers which constituted Task Force 58. These designs were assigned to the vessel and were applied to all aircraft of the attached air group as long as it was aboard. They were applied to both sides of the fin and rudder. While the drawings in the directive only showed the design on the top surface of the right wing, subsequent directives indicate that it was also to be applied on the under surface of the left wing tip.

The Commander, Air Force, Pacific Fleet, on 11 February 1945, issued an instruction for the aircraft in the Hawaiian Sea Frontier. All carrier and training type aircraft were to be identified with a letter followed by the individual aircraft number running from 1 to 99. These markings were not for the purpose of security, but rather to identify U.S. Navy aircraft after numerous reports of violations of air discipline involving flying too close to transport aircraft and ground installations.

Air Force, Pacific Fleet, on 2 June 1945, prescribed a series of recognition symbols for CVEs. These markings were to be painted on both sides of the vertical tail surfaces, as well as the upper right and lower left wing tips. All CVEGs, MCVGs and VCs assigned to ships of the Escort Carrier Force, Pacific, were to carry these designs. Each Carrier Division was assigned a

basic design. The position of the individual vessel within the Division was indicated by a series of narrow stripes.

The system of geometrical symbols carried by Task Force 58 aircraft was difficult to describe over the radio and was not always readily identifiable in the air. To eliminate this problem, Commander Task Force 38, in July 1945, specified a system of 24-inch block capital letters to be used to identify the aircraft of the CVs and CVBs. These letters were to be applied to both sides of the fin and rudder as well as the top right and lower left wing tips. In its original form some ships used a single letter, while others were assigned double letters. This was the beginning of the two-letter Visual Identification System in use today.

Naval Air Stations in Hawaii were assigned letter designations on 10 September 1945, by the Commander, Air Force, Pacific Fleet. These letters were to be followed by a number from 1 to 99 inclusive. In the event all available numbers in the 1 to 99 series were used, and no additional letters were available, the use of numbers over 100 was authorized.

On 8 January 1946, Air Force, Pacific Fleet, issued instructions for the application of markings on the fast carrier aircraft. This directive also assigned new alphabetical designations for the CVs and CVBs and CVLs in place of those specified by Commander Task Force 38. This assignment of the same letter to a different carrier than previously designated, may well have caused the erroneous identification of some photographs as to what ship the aircraft were actually assigned.

All of the previous directives or instructions were a search for an easy system to rapidly identify aircraft. Finally, on 7 November 1946, the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) established the Visual Identification System for all Navy and Marine Corps aircraft. To be effective, such a system had to be simple, readable and possess enough different combinations to cover the number of aircraft carriers and all types of squadrons to which naval aviation might expand in case of war. A system using letters satisfies these requirements as long as distinctive characters are used. The elimination of the ambiguous letters G, J, N, O, Q and Y left ample combinations to cover such expansion. Since each letter has a phonetic equivalent in communication procedures, the problem of describing geometric markings was replaced by the simple process of enunciating the names of the letters of the alphabet. Under this system each aircraft carrier had either a single or double letter symbol, some of which were a hold over from the previous system. On 12 December 1946, the Visual Identification System of Naval Aircraft was modified by CNO. Under this change the tail codes assigned to the carriers were now reassigned to individual air groups. This permit-

ted greater flexibility since an air group was not permanently assigned to a specific carrier.

Under the CNO system, non-carrier based squadrons, such as VP, VPP, VPW, VPM, VU, VRU, VX and VCN squadrons also used a letter system. In these squadrons the first of the two letters designated the wing or class while the second letter designated the squadron within the wing. Marine Corps carrier-based squadrons used the letters assigned to the parent carrier. While shore-based Marine squadrons used the first letter to designate the Wing or other command, and the second letter identified the squadron within the Wing or Command. The letters in all cases were underscored to denote Marine. It was possible under this system to have the same code letters assigned to a Navy squadron and a Marine Corps squadron concurrently. This requirement to underscore the letters on Marine Corps aircraft was rescinded on 4 August 1948.

The Training Command continued to use the letter number designation system in which the first of one or two letters designated the base or station, while the second letter identified the squadron and/or class designation. The aircraft within the squadron were identified by a one, two or three digit number. The Chief, Naval Air Training, controlled the assignment of the letter symbols within the Training Command.

Naval Air Reserve aircraft were also identified by two letters. The first letter denoted the Air Station to which the aircraft was assigned, while the second letter identified the type of squadron. From this it can be seen that it was possible to have a fleet squadron and a reserve squadron identified with the same two letters. This was resolved by the use of the orange belly band around the fuselage to denote a Reserve aircraft. Reorganization of the Naval Air Reserve in 1970 arranged the reserve squadron system along the same lines as the active fleet structure. The tail code assignments for these squadrons was redone to following the procedures used for the fleet squadrons.

Naval Air Advanced Training Command on 6 January 1947 issued a directive for identifying aircraft within the command. This alpha/numeric system used a letter to identify the Naval Air Station, followed by a second letter designating the squadron at that activity and then a three digit aircraft number. On 31 August 1950, the Chief Naval Air Basic Training issued a directive that involved single letters to denote aircraft assigned to the various bases. This was modified on 27 September 1950 to a two-letter system whereby the first letter designated the base and the second letter the squadron. These letters were followed by a three-digit number to denote the individual aircraft within the squadron. On 6 September 1956, Chief of Naval Air Training established a new tail code identification system for the training commands. This system included

<i>Command</i>	<i>Tail Code</i>	<i>Command</i>	<i>Tail Code</i>
MCAS Iwakuni	5G	HC-11	VR
MCAS New River	5D	HC-85	NW
MCAS YUMA	5Y		
Naval Air Stations (NAS)		Patrol	
Alameda	7J	VP-1	YB
Brunswick	7F	VP-4	YD
Cecil Field	7U	VP-5	LA
Fallon	7H	VP-8	LC
Jacksonville	7E	VP-9	PD
Key West	7Q	VP-10	LD
Lemoore	7S	VP-11	LE
Memphis	7K	VP-16	LF
Norfolk	7C	VP-26	LK
North Island	7M	VP-30	LL
Oceana	7R	VP-40	QE
Patuxent River	7A	VP-45	LN
Point Mugu	7L	VP-46	RC
Whidbey Island	7G	VP-47	RD
		VPU-1	OB
		VPU-2	SP
Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division		Patrol Reserve	
China Lake	7P		
Naval Air Warfare Center Naval Aircraft Division		VP-62	LT
Patuxent River	SD	VP-64	LU
		VP-65	PG
		VP-66	LV
		VP-68	LW
		VP-69	PJ
		VP-91	PM
		VP-92	LY
		VP-94	PZ
Navy Support		Air Test and Evaluation	
NAF Atsugi	8A		
NAF El Centro	8N	VX-1	JA
NAVSTA Guam	8J	VX-9	XE
NAVSTA Guantanamo	8F		
NAVSTA Mayport	8U		
NAF Mildenhall	8G		
NAF Misawa	8M		
COMFLTACT Okinawa	8H		
NAVSTA Roosevelt Roads	8E		
NAVSTA Rota	8D		
NAS Sigonella	8C		
HQ CMEF (Bahrain)	8K	VXE-6	XD
Helicopter Combat Support		Antarctic Development	
HC-2	HU		
HC-3	SA		
HC-4	HC		
HC-5	RB		
HC-6	HW		
HC-8	BR		
		Helicopter Mine Countermeasure Squadron	
		HM-14	BJ
		HM-15	TB
		Fleet Tactical Readiness Group	
		COMFEWSG	GD

<i>Command</i>	<i>Tail Code</i>	<i>Command</i>	<i>Tail Code</i>
<i>Fleet Air Reconnaissance</i>			
VQ-1	PR	VMFA-235	DB
VQ-2	JQ	VMFA-251	DW
VQ-3	TC	VMFA-312	DR
VQ-4	HL	VMFA-314	VW
VQ-5	SS	VMFA-323	WS
VQ-6	ET	VMFA-451	VM
<i>Fleet Marine and Marine Support Units Headquarters</i>		<i>Tactical Electronic Warfare</i>	
MWHS-1	SZ	VMAQ-1	CB
MALS-10	SE	VMAQ-2	CY
MALS-11	TM	VMAQ-3	MD
MALS-12	WA	VMAQ-4	RM
MALS-13	YU		
MALS-14	CN	<i>Aerial Refueler/Transport</i>	
HAMS-16	WW	VMGR-152	QD
MALS-24	EW	VMGR-252	BH
MALS-26	EL	VMGR-352	QB
HQSODN-17	CZ		
MALS-31	EX	<i>Observation</i>	
MALS-36	WK	VMO-1	ER
HQSSDN-37	QF	VMO-2	UU
<i>Attack</i>		<i>Fleet Training</i>	
VMA-211	CF	VMAT-203	KD
VMA-214	WE	VMFAT-101	SH
VMA-223	WP	VMFT-401	WB
VMA-231	CG		
VMA-331	VL	<i>Fleet Readiness</i>	
VMA-513	WF	VMGRT-253	GR
VMA-542	CR		
<i>All-weather Attack</i>		<i>Helicopter Heavy</i>	
VMA(AW)-332	EA	HMH-361	YN
		HMH-362	YL
		HMH-363	YZ
<i>All-weather Fighter Attack</i>		HMH-366	HH
VMFA(AW)-121	VK	HMH-461	CJ
VMFA(AW)-224	WK	HMH-462	YF
VMFA(AW)-242	DT	HMH-463	YH
VMFA(AW)-225	CE	HMH-464	EN
VMFA(AW)-533	ED	HMH-465	YJ
		HMH-466	YK
<i>Fighter Attack</i>		<i>Helicopter Medium</i>	
VMFA-115	VE		
VMFA-122	DC	HMM-161	YR
VMFA-212	WD	HMM-162	YS

<i>Command</i>	<i>Tail Code</i>	<i>Command</i>	<i>Tail Code</i>
<i>Fourth Marine Aircraft Wing</i>			
HQ 4TH MAW	EZ	HMM-764	ML
H&MS-41	MY	HML-767	MM
VFMA-112	MA	VMA-131	QG
VMA-142	MB	VMGR-234	QH
HMA-773	MP	HML-771	QK
HMM-774	MO	HML-776	QL
H&MS-49	QZ	HMH-777	QM
HMH-769	MS	VMA-124	QP
HMH-772	MT	VMGR-452	NY
VMO-4	MU		
H&MS-42	MW		
HMA-775	WR		
VMA-134	MF		
VMFA-321	MG		
H&MS-46	QY		

* Disestablished on 1 June 1970. RCWW-4 tail letters retained by the following squadrons: VF-101 and VAW-120.
 ** Disestablished on 1 June 1970. RCWW-12 tail letters retained by the following squadrons: VAQ-129 and VS-41.
 *** Disestablished on 17 February 1971. CVSG-50 tail letters retained by the following squadrons: VS-30 and HS-1.
 **** Disestablished on 30 June 1971. CVSG-51 tail letters retained by the following squadron: HS-10



Squadron insignia, past and present, showing squadron designation in the lower scroll.



Squadron insignia, past and present, showing squadron designation in the lower scroll.